


**CSE 260M / ESE 260**  
**Intro. To Digital Logic & Computer Design**

Bill Siever  
&  
Jim Feher


# Last Time

- Studio: Binary Number Lines Extended




# Last Time

- Studio: Binary Number Lines Extended




# Last Time

- Studio: Binary Number Lines Extended



# Last Time

- Studio: Binary Number Lines Extended



# Last Time

- Studio: Two's Complement




# Last Time

- Studio: Two's Complement



# Last Time

- Studio: Two's Complement - Above/Below





# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Above/Below & Bitwise Inversion

Decimal:

Binary:


Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time

- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times$ )



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times 6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times 6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times 6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times -6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times -6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:



# Last Time


- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times -6$ )

Decimal:

Binary:

Binary:

Decimal:





# Last Time

- Studio: Two's Complement - Mathematical Negation ( $-1 \times A$ )
- Logic:  $\bar{A} + 1$

# Chapter 2: Minterms

- Minterms
  - Given  $n$  variables, a product (AND) containing all  $n$  exactly once, in either their original or negated form
  - It's the minimum number of variables needed to exactly "select" a row in a truth table

# Chapter 2: Minterms

- Consider  $n = 3$  and  $A, B, C$ ; Which are Minterms? Which are *not* and why?
  - $ABC$
  - $AB\bar{A}$
  - $CBA$
  - $\bar{A}C$

# Minterms & Truth Tables

CI	A	B	=	Carry Out	Sum
0+	0+	0	=	0	0
0+	0+	1	=	0	1
0+	1+	0	=	0	1
0+	1+	1	=	1	0
1+	0+	0	=	0	1
1+	0+	1	=	1	0
1+	1+	0	=	1	0
1+	1+	1	=	1	1

# Minterms & Truth Tables

CI	A	B		Sum
0+	0+	0	=	0
0+	0+	1	=	1
0+	1+	0	=	1
0+	1+	1	=	0
1+	0+	0	=	1
1+	0+	1	=	0
1+	1+	0	=	0
1+	1+	1	=	1

# Minterms & Truth Tables

CI	A	B		Sum
0+	0+	0	=	0
0+	0+	1	=	1
0+	1+	0	=	1
0+	1+	1	=	0
1+	0+	0	=	1
1+	0+	1	=	0
1+	1+	0	=	0
1+	1+	1	=	1

- $n = 3: CI, A, B$
- Where/when is each of these true?
  - $\bar{C}I \cdot \bar{A} \cdot B$
  - $\bar{C}I \cdot A \cdot \bar{B}$
  - $CI \cdot \bar{A} \cdot \bar{B}$
  - $CI \cdot A \cdot B$

# Minterms & Truth Tables

CI	A	B		Sum
0+	0+	0	=	0
0+	0+	1	=	1
0+	1+	0	=	1
0+	1+	1	=	0
1+	0+	0	=	1
1+	0+	1	=	0
1+	1+	0	=	0
1+	1+	1	=	1

- $n = 3$ :  $CI, A, B$
- Where/when is *any* of these true?

# Minterms & Truth Tables

CI	A	B		Sum
0+	0+	0	=	0
0+	0+	1	=	1
0+	1+	0	=	1
0+	1+	1	=	0
1+	0+	0	=	1
1+	0+	1	=	0
1+	1+	0	=	0
1+	1+	1	=	1

- $n = 3$ :  $CI, A, B$

- Where/when is *any* of these true?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sum} = & \bar{C}I \cdot \bar{A} \cdot B + \\ & \bar{C}I \cdot A \cdot \bar{B} + \\ & CI \cdot \bar{A} \cdot \bar{B} + \\ & CI \cdot A \cdot B \end{aligned}$$

Truth Table -> Sum of Minterms  
**Canonical Form**



# Important!

- Any simple function (mapping) can be represented as a truth table
  - $n$ -bit binary numbers can be used to represent all the inputs
    - The table will need  $2^n$  rows to represent all the possible combinations of inputs
  - $m$ -bit binary numbers can represent the output(s)
  - *Each* of the  $m$  bits of output can be represent by a sum-of-products (sum of minterms) equation.
    - There's a minterm for each place the bit of  $m$  is a 1 (true)
    - Canonical form = The “sum” of these Minterms

# Sum-of-Products

- All our combination logic *could* be represented in a table
- All the outputs can be represented as equations
- Those equations can be realized with just the concept of AND and OR
  - I.e., we can build computing machines for anything we can represent in a table if we have AND and OR.
- The idea of Tables and “Look Up Tables” (LUTs) is really useful!

# Give an equation for...


Inputs			Output
A	B	C	O
0	0	0	
0	0	1	
0	1	0	
0	1	1	
1	0	0	
1	0	1	
1	1	0	
1	1	1	

# Give an equation for...

Inputs			Output
S	D1	D0	O
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

# Real Circuits: Xs and Zs

- 0s and 1s represent real-world, continuous values, like voltages
  - Ex: 0 = 0v (gnd); 1 = 5v
  - What's 2.3v?
  - What happens if a 0v wire is connected to 5v wire? ("Contention")
  - X: That's illegal / don't know



# Real Circuits: Xs and Zs

- 0s and 1s represent real-world, continuous values, like voltages
  - Ex: 0 = 0v (gnd); 1 = 5v (relative to that ground)
    - Voltage is a *relative* measure  
(like water pressure: it's the difference between two points)
  - Z: “Floating” value / disconnected
    - Sometimes useful to “disconnect” something to prevent contention  
(to share wires with different things in control at different times)
    - Sometimes an error when *nothing* is connected  
(Behavior depends on technology and conditions; Can be random or influenced by external things — like moving a hand near a circuit!)

# Circuit “Optimization”

- Time or performance?
- Number of parts?
- Total cost?
- Combination: E.g., Cheapest way to achieve a specific level of performance

# Circuit “Optimization”

- Logic Minimization
  - Canonical Form is seldom the minimum number of parts
  - Can “combine” overlapping terms (implicants)
    - Prime Implicant: Can’t be further reduced
    - Ex:  $A \cdot B \cdot C + A \cdot \bar{B} \cdot C$ 
      - True when  $A \cdot C$ . The  $B$  and  $\bar{B}$  cancel



# Karnaugh (K) Maps

- A way to do term optimization
- Rely on tables that allow easy identification of ways to combine implicants
  - Uses Gray code ordering, *not counting order!!!*
  - Terms are simplified by commingling in horizontal or vertical groups
    - Groupings must have a power of 2 items: 1, 2, 4, or 8.
- Only useful for up to 4 variables. I.e., small problems

# Give an equation for...

Inputs			Output
S	D1	D0	O
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

D1/D0				
00				
01				
11				
10				
S	0			
	1			

# Give an equation for...

Inputs			Output
S	D1	D0	O
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

		D1 D0				
		00	01	11	10	
D1 changes	S	0	0	1	1	0
		1	0	0	1	1
		D0 changes				

# Give an equation for...

Inputs			Output
S	D1	D0	O
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

		D1 D0			
		00	01	11	10
$\bar{S} \cdot D0$					
	0	0	1	1	0
S					
	1	0	0	1	1
$S \cdot D1$					

## 2.8: More Parts

- Multiplexor (MUX)
  - 2-input Mux Behavior
- Q: We want a 4-to-1 multiplexor. How big is the full truth table?
- Q: Our CPU may need a 32-to-1 multiplexor. How big is the truth table?
- We need a new approach
  - Hierarchical construction


# Hierarchical Approach

- We've created a 2-to-1 MUX
  - Construct a 4-to-1 MUX using 2-to-1 MUXes
  - Focus on desired behavior using existing parts

# **Hierarchical Construction of 4-input Mix**

# 4-to-1 MUX Behavior

- Behavioral Description as a Table



Inputs of Interest		Output (In terms of Inputs)
S1	S0	O
0	0	I0
0	1	I1
1	0	I2
1	1	I3



**More Parts**

# Questions

- [What is the meaning of life? / What is success]
- [What about optimizing larger things? / Limit of K-Maps]
  - Quine–McCluskey algorithm
- [What were people doing between Babbage's time Turing's time?]
  - Industrial Revolution; Advance of Science; Digital Computing took convergence of many things...
- “What's your favorite gate?” — Stargate Defender (old computer game)?
- [What's computer architecture?] (Wait until chapters 6-7)

# Next Time

- Studio
- Homework 1 due Thursday night!